

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/A1720/W/20/3252180

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference

Appeal By

Site Address

SENDER DETAILS

Name

Address

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- Appellant
- Agent
- Interested Party / Person
- Land Owner
- Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- Final Comments
- Proof of Evidence
- Statement
- Statement of Common Ground
- Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

I feel very strongly that the open spaces need to be protected. We have seen in recent months how important these spaces are. As a member of this community our voices should be heard. Life is a constant battle to manage an already very busy area. Roads, shops, doctors, schools and leisure facilities are at bursting point. I have grown up in this area, raised my family here and now my grown up children wish to buy their own homes here but not at any cost. They want to remain in this area because at this point a good life can be led. They work within surrounding areas and so it is not unacceptable to assume they should wish to remain but of course budgets dictate. Currently we can access those unspoilt open spaces, a field to walk across or cycle, freedom to explore nature on your doorstep and relatively clean air. It's a very basic need and one which I value and remained in this area because it felt less built up. Residents do pay a premium in this area for the "privilage". Building on green spaces is arely acceptable, especially when it is on the boundary of an already built up area. It only ever really benefits those that build the estates and move on to other areas. Open spaces must be protected and housing in any area should be what is really needed not what is assumed. I would like to see much more evidence around the type of housing and what is already not being utilised. I dont want to see a blanket scheme ruining the community that I live in. I know my children dont want to rent as they see their lives continuung here so they are looking long term. The bypass has seen the devastation of ancient hedgerows and wild life. I dont ever remember being consulted about this but we are told we voted for it. Is it right that a minority make important decisions about an area that affects so many without really considering how it will change those lives, if not it should.